UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,173	12/31/2003	Tal Gat	P-6382-US	5558
49444 DEADI COUE	7590 04/09/2007	EXAMINER		
PEARL COHEN ZEDEK LATZER, LLP 1500 BROADWAY, 12TH FLOOR			PETRANEK, JACOB ANDREW	
NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2183	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		بمناه				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/748,173	GAT ET AL.				
merview dummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jacob Petranek	2183				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Jacob Petranek</u> .	(3) <u>Michal Moav</u> .					
(2) <u>Caleb Pollack</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 29 March 2007.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	•				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1, 12, and 20</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Reinman et al. ("Optimizations Enabled by a Decoupled Front-End Architecture") and Giacalone et al. (U.S. 6,272,624).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not reached. h)	N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant discussed a possible amendment to independent claim 1 that stated a branch prediction cache containing two sides, with each side storing even and odd numbered address respectively. The examiner failed to find this limitation within Reinman and Giacalone and agreed that the proposed amendment if entered would overcome the current rejection, but would require further search and/or consideration to determine if it's allowable.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE S	he last Office action has alread R OF ONE MONTH OR THIR NTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM	dy been filed, APPLICANT IS TY DAYS FROM THIS I, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO				
		•				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE! EDDIE CHVN

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)